



DISTRICT 17 SCIP-LTIP ROUND 33 DISTRICT

Village of Ashville, Ohio

State Route 316 Resurfacing, Sidewalk (ADA), Stormwater Improvement Project **The 10 Item Narrative and How It Relates to Scoring**

DESCRIPTION	POINTS																			
1. INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT	TBD																			
a. ODOT has conducted a traffic study of this area and has found the following:																				
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left; padding: 5px;">PIC-316-13.05-13.68</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">CURRENT TRAFFIC (PIC 2016)</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">OPENING DAY (2020)</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">DESIGN YEAR (2032)</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">ADT</th> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">ADTT</th> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">ADT</th> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">ADTT</th> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">ADT</th> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">ADTT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">4339</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">93.24</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">4700</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">94</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">4800</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">96</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	PIC-316-13.05-13.68	CURRENT TRAFFIC (PIC 2016)		OPENING DAY (2020)		DESIGN YEAR (2032)		ADT	ADTT	ADT	ADTT	ADT	ADTT	4339	93.24	4700	94	4800	96	
PIC-316-13.05-13.68		CURRENT TRAFFIC (PIC 2016)		OPENING DAY (2020)		DESIGN YEAR (2032)														
	ADT	ADTT	ADT	ADTT	ADT	ADTT														
4339	93.24	4700	94	4800	96															
2. THE AGE AND CONDITION OF THE SYSTEM TO BE REPAIRED	12																			
a. Existing elevation issue has been generated over the last 100 years																				
b. Much of the existing Stormwater System is over 100 years old.	12																			
c. The last roadway resurfacing occurred in 2010																				
3. GENERATION OF REVENUE IN THE FORM OF USER FEES/ASSESSMENTS	0																			
a. Roads do not generate revenue but will be supplemented by a Stormwater Fee.																				
b. Ashville has a Stormwater Fee at \$0.0987 per day x 30 days = \$2.961 x 1 ERU = \$2.961.																				
4. IMPORTANCE OF THE PROJECT TO THE HEALTH AND SAFETY	24																			
a. Ashville and ODOT agreed upon project.																				
b. Project directly impacts approximately 4,100 people.																				
c. Without this project numerous people and businesses would be adversely affected.																				
5. COST OF THE PROJECT AND CONSISTENCY WITH ORC 164-05	12																			
a. Requesting Grant/Loan Combination																				
b. Total requested amount of funding is \$955,460; however, the total project cost is \$1,577,939.																				
1) OPWC Funding represents 61% of the project cost																				
6. EFFORT/ABILITY OF THE SUBDIVISION TO ASSIST IN FINANCING PROJECT	16																			
a. Repair/Replacement Project – 39% of the Cost																				
7. OVERALL ECONOMIC HEALTH OF THE SUBDIVISION	12																			
b. MHI = \$51,964 / County's MHI = \$53,750																				
c. Between 75-100% of County's MHI																				
8. ADEQUACY OF PLANNING AND READINESS OF THE APPLICANT TO PROCEED	3																			
a. Engineering / Design Plans were started in 2017 and will be ready to bid when grant agreement is issued which is around July 1, 2019																				

9. ANY OTHER FACTORS	15
a. Project is the Village of Ashville's – FIRST PRIORITY	
b. Impact on Community and Jobs – Temporary Jobs created	
c. Previous SCIP/LTIP Funding	
• Grant Funds Awarded = \$738,089	
• Last funded in Round 30 Loan	
d. Points are Awarded Based on Total Grant, Loan, and/or Credit Enhancement Request	5
• Total Amount of OPWC Funding Request = \$955,460	
e. Project Management and Schedule Management (Updated Round 30)	
• Round 30 Project – Water Resource Recovery Facility Part B	
10. OVERALL PROJECT SOUNDNESS	11
a. Engineering Costs vs. Total Construction Costs = 10%	
• Engineering / Final Design = \$137,087	
• Total Estimated Construction Cost = \$130,987	
b. Meets Design Standards	
• Design will be approved prior to construction by the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT)	
	TOTAL POINTS *
	122
* DOES NOT INCLUDE DISCRETIONARY POINTS	